

## General

### Title

Ambulatory surgery: percentage of Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions experiencing a burn prior to discharge.

### Source(s)

ASC Quality Collaboration. ASC quality measures: implementation guide. Version 3.2. Saint Petersburg (FL): ASC Quality Collaboration; 2015 Oct. 37 p.

## Measure Domain

### Primary Measure Domain

Clinical Quality Measures: Outcome

### Secondary Measure Domain

Does not apply to this measure

## Brief Abstract

### Description

This measure is used to assess the percentage of Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions experiencing a burn prior to discharge.

### Rationale

There are numerous case reports in the literature regarding patient burns in the surgical and procedural setting. The diversity of the causative agents underscores the multitude of potential risks that must be properly mitigated to avoid patient burns.

The literature on burns suggests that electrosurgical burns are most common. A recent publication from ECRI Institute highlights the increased risk of burns with newer surgical devices that apply higher currents at longer activation times. Although electrical burns are most prevalent, other mechanisms of burn injury are frequently reported in case studies and case series. These include chemical and thermal burns.

Surgical fires are rare; however, their consequences can be grave, killing or seriously injuring patients and surgical staff. The risk of surgical fire is present whenever and wherever surgery is performed, whether in an operating room, a physician's office, or an outpatient clinic.

Recognizing the diversity of mechanisms by which a patient could sustain an unintentional burn in the Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) setting, the definition of burn is broad, encompassing all six recognized means by which a burn can occur - scalds, contact, fire, chemical, electrical, or radiation. This will allow stakeholders to develop a better understanding of the incidence of these events and further refine means to ensure prevention.

#### Clinical Practice Guidelines

The risk of burns related to laser use can be reduced by adherence to the guidelines published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI, 2005) for safe use of these devices in the health care setting. Similarly, the risk of burns related to the use of electrosurgical devices can be reduced by following the electrosurgery checklist published by ECRI. The risk of surgical fires can be reduced by minimizing ignition, oxidizer, and fuel risks (the "classic triangle"). The American Society of Anesthesiologists Practice Advisory for the Prevention and Management of Operating Room Fires (2008) seeks to prevent the occurrence of operating room (OR) fires, reduce adverse outcomes associated with OR fires and identify the elements of a fire response protocol.

Guidance for the prevention of surgical fire has also been published by the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (2006), formerly Association of Operating Room Nurses (AORN).

## Evidence for Rationale

American National Standards Institutes (ANSI). ANSI Z136.3. Safe use of lasers in health care facilities, 2005 revision. 2005.

American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Operating Room Fires, Caplan RA, Barker SJ, Connis RT, Cowles C, de Richemond AL, Ehrenwerth J, Nickinovich DG, Pritchard D, Roberson D, Wolf GL. Practice advisory for the prevention and management of operating room fires. *Anesthesiology*. 2008 May;108(5):786-801; quiz 971-2. [93 references] [PubMed](#)

ASC Quality Collaboration. ASC quality measures: implementation guide. Version 3.2. Saint Petersburg (FL): ASC Quality Collaboration; 2015 Oct. 37 p.

Association of Operating Room Nurses (AORN). AORN guidance statement: fire prevention in the operating room in standards, recommended practices, and guidelines. Denver (CO): Association of Operating Room Nurses (AORN); 2006.

ECRI Institute. Electrosurgery checklist. [internet].

ECRI. A clinician's guide to surgical fires. How they occur, how to prevent them, how to put them out. *Health Devices*. 2003 Jan;32(1):5-24. [134 references] [PubMed](#)

ECRI. Devastation of patient fires. *Health Devices*. 1992 Jan;21(1):3-39.

ECRI. Higher currents, greater risks: preventing patient burns at the return-electrode site during high-current electrosurgical procedures. *Health Devices*. 2005 Aug;34(8):273-9. [PubMed](#)

## Primary Health Components

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC); patient burn; scalds; contact; fire; chemical; electrical; radiation

## Denominator Description

All Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions (see the related "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field)

## Numerator Description

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions experiencing a burn prior to discharge (see the related "Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions" field)

## Evidence Supporting the Measure

### Type of Evidence Supporting the Criterion of Quality for the Measure

A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical research evidence

A formal consensus procedure, involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, public health and organizational sciences

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

### Additional Information Supporting Need for the Measure

Unspecified

## Extent of Measure Testing

The measures included in this implementation guide have been developed using a multi-step process. Each has been vetted with both an internal panel of technical experts and an external panel of individuals and/or organizations with relevant expertise. All of the measures have been pilot tested in Ambulatory Surgery Centers (ASCs) and assessed for validity, feasibility and reliability.

## Evidence for Extent of Measure Testing

ASC Quality Collaboration. ASC quality measures: implementation guide. Version 3.2. Saint Petersburg (FL): ASC Quality Collaboration; 2015 Oct. 37 p.

## State of Use of the Measure

### State of Use

Current routine use

### Current Use

not defined yet

## Application of the Measure in its Current Use

### Measurement Setting

Ambulatory Procedure/Imaging Center

### Professionals Involved in Delivery of Health Services

not defined yet

### Least Aggregated Level of Services Delivery Addressed

Single Health Care Delivery or Public Health Organizations

### Statement of Acceptable Minimum Sample Size

Does not apply to this measure

### Target Population Age

All ages

### Target Population Gender

Either male or female

## National Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health Care

### National Quality Strategy Aim

Better Care

### National Quality Strategy Priority

Making Care Safer

Prevention and Treatment of Leading Causes of Mortality

## Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Health Care Quality Report Categories

### IOM Care Need

Getting Better

## IOM Domain

Effectiveness

Safety

## Data Collection for the Measure

### Case Finding Period

Unspecified

### Denominator Sampling Frame

Patients associated with provider

### Denominator (Index) Event or Characteristic

Encounter

### Denominator Time Window

not defined yet

### Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions

All Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions\*

*\*Admission:* Completion of registration upon entry into the facility.

Exclusions

None

### Exclusions/Exceptions

not defined yet

### Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) admissions experiencing a burn prior to discharge

Note:

*Burn:* Unintended tissue injury caused by any of the six recognized mechanisms: scalds, contact, fire, chemical, electrical or radiation, (e.g., warming devices, prep solutions, electrosurgical unit or laser).

*Discharge:* Occurs when the patient leaves the confines of the ASC.

Exclusions

None

## Numerator Search Strategy

Encounter

## Data Source

Paper medical record

Other

## Type of Health State

Adverse Health State

## Instruments Used and/or Associated with the Measure

Sample Data Collection Sheet: Patient Burn

## Computation of the Measure

### Measure Specifies Disaggregation

Does not apply to this measure

### Scoring

Rate/Proportion

### Interpretation of Score

Desired value is a lower score

### Allowance for Patient or Population Factors

not defined yet

### Standard of Comparison

not defined yet

## Identifying Information

### Original Title

Patient burn.

## Measure Collection Name

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) Quality Measures

## Submitter

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) Quality Collaboration - Health Care Quality Collaboration

## Developer

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) Quality Collaboration - Health Care Quality Collaboration

## Funding Source(s)

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) providers; nursing, physician, and provider associations

## Composition of the Group that Developed the Measure

Ambulatory Surgery Center (ASC) providers; nursing, physician, and provider associations; provider accrediting organizations

## Financial Disclosures/Other Potential Conflicts of Interest

None

## Measure Initiative(s)

Ambulatory Surgery Center Quality Reporting Program

## Adaptation

This measure was not adapted from another source.

## Date of Most Current Version in NQMC

2015 Oct

## Measure Maintenance

Unspecified

## Date of Next Anticipated Revision

Unspecified

## Measure Status

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: ASC Quality Collaboration. ASC quality measures: implementation guide. Version 2.0. Ambulatory Surgery Center; 2014 Jan. 32 p.

## Measure Availability

Source available from the [Ambulatory Surgery Center \(ASC\) Quality Collaboration Web site](#)  
.

For more information, contact the ASC Quality Collaboration's Executive Director, Donna Slosburg, at E-mail: [donnaslosburg@ascquality.org](mailto:donnaslosburg@ascquality.org); Web site: [ascquality.org](http://ascquality.org) .

## NQMC Status

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on September 10, 2008. The information was verified by the measure developer on December 3, 2008.

This NQMC summary was retrofitted into the new template on May 31, 2011.

This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI Institute on July 10, 2012. The information was verified by the measure developer on August 1, 2012.

This NQMC summary was updated again by ECRI Institute on August 3, 2015. The information was verified by the measure developer on September 11, 2015.

## Copyright Statement

No copyright restrictions apply.

## Production

### Source(s)

ASC Quality Collaboration. ASC quality measures: implementation guide. Version 3.2. Saint Petersburg (FL): ASC Quality Collaboration; 2015 Oct. 37 p.

## Disclaimer

### NQMC Disclaimer

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened



solely to determine that they meet the [NQMC Inclusion Criteria](#).

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of measures represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NQMC, AHRQ, or its contractor, ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.